



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,332	10/14/2003	Robert S. Kolman	10030540-1	7202
7590	04/13/2005			EXAMINER BUI, BRYAN
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Department, DL 429 Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 7599 Loveland, CO 80537-0599			ART UNIT 2863	PAPER NUMBER
DATE MAILED: 04/13/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/686,332 Examiner Bryan Bui	KOLMAN, ROBERT S. Art Unit 2863	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 October 2003.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5-16 and 18 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) 4 and 17 is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-3, 5-16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Krech, Jr. et al (hereafter Krech) US 6,779,140.

With respect to claim 1, Krech teach a system with algorithmically programmable memory tester with test sites operating in a slave mode (title) comprising a local controller (site controller) having control mode (executes test program) to control testing of a device and to initiate one or more test instruction (program task of testing) to be applied to the device (figure 1, blocks 4a, 6a), and when in the slave mode, to pass through a remote test instrument (Test system controller through system bus in figure 1, blocks 2 and 3, 5a); and tester (figure 1 block 6a and detail of tester in figure 2) communicatively coupled to the local controller (site controller) to apply one of the one or more test instructions and the remote test instruction to the device (tester of figure 2, item 5 from test site controller and test site bus, and through blocks 19, 22, 24).

With respect to claims 2-3, Krech teach the remote controller communicatively coupled to the local controller, to control testing of the plurality of devices when the local

controller is in the slave mode (title and figures 1, 3, and 6), and further pass through result of the remote test instruction to the remote controller (figure 2, item 5 from test site controller and test site bus, and through blocks 19, 22, 24; and figure 6).

With respect to claims 5-9, Kerch teach memory, communicatively coupled to the local controller, to receive the remote test instruction from the remote controller (figure 2, from item 5 to blocks 19, 20, 21); wherein the local controller is further to obtain the remote test instruction from the memory; detecting the presence of the remote test instruction; switches from the control mode to the slave mode, and wherein the tester comprises a system-on-a-chip tester (figure 2, block and items 20, 21, 22, 31A, 31B, 42).

With respect to claim 10, Kerch teach detecting a remote instruction received from a remote controller (figure 2, item 5 through bus controller 88, ring bus, microcontroller to detect remote instruction 22); upon detecting the remote test instruction, switching from a control mode, to control testing of a device, to a slave mode to pass through the remote test instruction to a tester (title, figures 1, 3, 6).

With respect to claims 11-15, Kerch teach passing through the remote test instruction to a tester; applying the remote test instruction to the device; and applying test instruction to a system-on-chip-the remote, and passing through a result of the remote test instruction to the remote controller, compiling the result with plurality of additional results passed through to the remote controller (figure 1, items 2, 3, 4a, and figure 2, item 5 from test site controller and test site bus, and through blocks 19, 22, 24; and figure 6, column 15, lines 10-25).

With respect to claims 16 and 18, Kerch teach wherein detecting a remote test instruction comprises polling a memory shared with the remote controller, and detecting a test instruction to be applied to a system-on-a-chip (column 11, line 39 to column 12, line 18, figure 2, block and items 20, 21, 22, 31A, 31B, 42).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 4 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-2271. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 7am-4pm, and Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BRYAN BUI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

BB

4/11/2005

